1		listing and a separate section for business advertisers but we also includ
2		information for consumers and our marketing is different.
3	Q.	DO YOU HAVE ANY EXAMPLES OF INDEPENDENT
4		DIRECTORIES?
5	· A.	Yes. Exhibit GS-1 consists of sample directories published by independent
6	÷	directory publishers. These are typical directories.
7	Q.	DO INDEPENDENT DIRECTORIES INCLUDE RESIDENTIAL AND
8		BUSINESS LISTINGS?
9	A.	Yes they do. Also our directories include business advertisements to
10		accompany the listing. Additionally our directories included community
11		information and items of information to our consumers.
12	Q.	HOW DO YOU DISTRIBUTE YOUR DIRECTORIES?
13	A.	We use a variety of means to distribute directories. We may use professional
14		distributors and sometimes our directories are distributed by church or youth
15		organizations and some may be distributed door to door. The distribution
16		method varies from area to area.
17	Q.	DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY INDEPENDENT PUBLISHERS
18		THERE ARE IN FLORIDA?
19	A.	I believe there are 12 publishers in Florida. These businesses publish 83
20		directories in various markets.
21	Q.	WHERE DOES AN INDEPENDENT PUBLISHER GET THE
22		INFORMATION THAT IS PUBLISHED IN THEIR DIRECTORY?

1	A.	The information which we publish in our directory is obtained from local
2		sources primarily - and possibly exclusively - from the local telephone
3		company.
4	Q.	HOW DO YOU OBTAIN THIS INFORMATION?
5	Α.	There are several methods of obtaining information. Some publishers copy
6		out of date directories - which is now preferred method for obvious reasons.
7		Most of the information for directories is acquired through contract
8		arrangements or by tariff.
9	Q.	IS THIS THE SAME WAY A LEC DIRECTORY PUBLISHER
10		ACQUIRES INFORMATION FOR ITS DIRECTORY?
11	A.	No.
12	Q.	HOW DOES BELLSOUTH PUBLISH ITS DIRECTORIES?
13	A.	BellSouth directories are published by BellSouth Publishing Co. ("BAPCO")
14		a sister company of BellSouth. There is a contract between BellSouth and
15		BAPCO which details the arrangement including a division of revenues. I
16		understand that this Commission has reviewed that arrangement but I am not
17		familiar with the details. The critical point to remember is that BellSouth and
18		BAPCO are related.
19	Q.	HOW DOES BAPCO ACQUIRE CUSTOMER INFORMATION FOR
20		ITS DIRECTORIES?
21	A.	From BellSouth: but unlike the information we receive, BAPCO is provided
22		listing information much more frequently and under arrangements other than

1		through the DPDS tariff.
2	Q.	WOULD YOU CONSIDER INDEPENDENT PUBLISHERS TO BE IN
3		COMPETITION WITH THE PUBLISHERS OF LEC DIRECTORIES?
4	A.	Usually, yes.
5	Q.	ARE THERE OTHER SOURCES FOR THE INFORMATION
6		INCLUDED IN INDEPENDENT DIRECTORIES?
7	A.	The LEC, BellSouth, is the only source for data which is up to date and
8		which would enable us to effectively compete.
9	Q.	WITH RESPECT TO THIS DOCKET WOULD YOU BRIEFLY
10		DESCRIBE WHAT ACTION YOU ARE REQUESTING OF THE
11		COMMISSION?
12	A.	Yes. We want the Commission to require BellSouth to amend its DPDS
13		tariff to be consistent with previous expressions of the Commission.
14		Specifically the Commission should require BellSouth to:
15		1) allow directory publishers to provide directories in printed or
16		electronic format;
17		2) provide information on residential new connects; and
18		3) provide an update service in a reasonable format, unbundled and
19		at reasonable, nondiscriminatory rates.
20		Additionally, we have asked the Commission to review the rates which
21		BellSouth proposes.
22	Q.	WOULD YOU EXPLAIN YOUR CONCERN WITH THE

ELECTRONIC OFFERING?

1

9

10

16

A. Yes. With trends in technology FIDP's want to be able to publish a directory in any format. The proposed revision to the DPDS tariff would have permitted publishers to provide a directory in printed or electronic formate, such as the Internet. As filed we are restricted to CD-ROM. BellSouth has expressed concern that this is not a directory assistance ("DA") tariff but we don't want to provide DA. We simply want to have the ability to be flexible and compete.

Q. DOESN'T THE DIRECTORY PUBLISHERS TARIFF LANGUAGE WITH RESPECT TO THE ELECTRONIC FORMAT PERMIT THIS?

11 A. No. Even though the Staff agreed with our proposed language and
12 BellSouth was directed to file a tariff incorporating this language the tariff
13 restricts directories to either a printed booklet or CD-ROM. This restricts our
14 ability to publish a directory in a medium other than print. BellSouth has
15 listings on the Internet, we want to do the same

Q. WHAT IS YOUR PROPOSAL?

- 17 A. It's very simple. BellSouth should be required to file a tariff consistent with
 18 the plain language of the Order of this Commission. There was nothing in
 19 the Order which limits our directories to CD-ROM and BellSouth has
 20 unilaterally ignored the directive of this Commission.
- Q. YOU ALSO HAVE REQUESTED NEW CONNECT AND UPDATES –

 AREN'T THESE THE SAME?

1	A.	No. Part of the problem in this docket has been confusion over terms. An
2		update, as I use the term, means supplemental information on existing
3		customers with respect to changes, additions and deletions. This is used to
4		maintain a database of subscriber listings. A new connect listing means a
5		listing of information of new telephone subscribers—both Residential and
6		Business subscribers—for the purpose of either contacting new customers or
7		delivering directories. These are different services.
8	Q.	SHOULD BOTH UPDATE INFORMATION AND NEW LISTING
9		INFORMATION BE AVAILABLE TO INDEPENDENT
10		PUBLISHERS?
11	A.	Yes.
12	Q.	TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, IS THIS INFORMATION PROVIDED TO
13		BELLSOUTH'S AFFILIATED PUBLISHING COMPANY?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	SINCE THIS IS PROVIDED TO BAPCO BUT NOT TO YOU, WHAT
16		IS THE RESULT?
17	A.	BAPCO has a more up to date offering and is in a better competitive position.
18		First, they deliver new directories to new connection customers within days
19		of obtaining service. Second, when they seek advertisers, they can claim they
20		are the only directory publisher providing directories to every customer,
21		including new connections.
22	Q.	DOES BELLSOUTH CURRENTLY OFFER NEW CONNECT

1		INFORMATION OR AN UPDATE SERVICE?
2	A.	No. BellSouth does offer a Weekly Business Activity Report (WBAR)
3		which makes available certain information regarding business subscribers.
4		This information generally is of little use to publishers. Furthermore, to
5		BellSouth, this offering is not designed nor intended to be used as an update
6		service, but is only to allow publishers an opportunity to contact new
7		advertisers.
8	Q.	DOES THE WBAR INCLUDE RESIDENTIAL INFORMATION?
9	A.	No.
.0	Q.	COULD THE WBAR BE USED AS AN UPDATE?
11	A.	No. Not in its present format because that is not what it was intended to be.
12		Currently the WBAR includes all business activity for a central office. This
13		includes any change in service, for example addition of some vertical service,
14		disconnects, transfers and new listings. The only information of any value
15		to a publisher is the identification of a new connection, since this identifies
16		new customers. The WBAR should be eliminated and replaced with an
17		offering that is of some use to customers.
18	Q.	WHAT INFORMATION WOULD YOU WANT FROM A WEEKLY
19		UPDATE SERVICE THAT THE WEEKLY BUSINESS ACTIVITY
20		REPORT DOES NOT CURRENTLY PROVIDE TO DIRECTORY
21		PUBLISHER CUSTOMERS?

As I stated, I understand the sole purpose for offering the WBAR is to allow

22

A.

publishers an opportunity to contact new businesses only for the selling of yellow pages advertising. The WBAR is not designed for nor intended to be used by a publisher as "an update service". Therefore there would be no need for a WBAR service if all listing update were made available to publishers. Such an update service must include all central office activity and it must be made available with specific codes to designate the type service (residential. business, ISDN, government, FX, RCF, etc.) along with the activity involved for each listing such as changes, additions or deletions (new service connects, disconnected service, relocation of service, request for non-published, etc...). A publisher must have this information at least weekly if not more often for the purposes of distribution of directories and the opportunity to contact new businesses about advertising. All listing updates must be made available on a selective basis (like a menu) and not bundled together on an all or nothing basis nor be bundled with needless and useless information to the publisher ordering the update services.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

Q. HOW DO DIRECTORY PUBLISHERS CURRENTLY USE THE INFORMATION IN BELLSOUTH'S WBAR?

Generally FIDP's do not currently subscribe to the WBAR in Florida because the information is of little value and the costs are prohibitive based on the bundling of unnecessary information and the high rate. I'll come back to this.

A WBAR includes all business activity for a particular company.

Specifically these include business customers with a code for each order type:

1	C-change, D-disconnect, N-new, R-?, and T- transfer. The only order type
2	necessary for the opportunity to sell advertising is the N-new.

Q. WHAT INFORMATION SHOULD BE ADDED OR DELETED FROM THE WBAR TO MAKE THIS DPDS SERVICE REFLECTIVE OF WHAT FIDP NEEDS?

A.

The WBAR should be changed to read WAR, Weekly Activity Report, to include all weekly activity of service orders for a particular company, to include, but not limited to, all activity with a specific code for each order type. C, D, N, R & T is fine. But each type of service should be designated as well: Business, Government, Residential, Foreign eXchange, Remote Call Forward. In the case where either a customer changes to a non-published number or establishes new service with a non published number, it should be designed somehow (UP) and only the name and address furnished without the number. If for any reason the name must be omitted, at least the complete address should be furnished. With this information, the WAR becomes an update service should a publisher choose to receive all activity information that effects listings. Otherwise, a publisher may choose only that information they deem necessary and essential for their particular type of directory to be published, and only be charged for what they received.

Q. YOU MENTIONED BUNDLING AND UNBUNDLED RATES. WHAT DID YOU MEAN?

A. Currently in order to acquire information on new customers, we have to

subscribe to the WBAR and for our subscription we get a listing of all changes in a central office. A publisher pays on a per listing basis and not simply for new listings. The result is a very expensive service. For example, in a central office of 100,000 customers, an independent publishers pays the tariff rate of \$.006 per listing every time the WBAR is obtained. This equals to \$600.00 per month or \$7,200.00 annually. If in any month there were no new customers in the central office, the publisher would have paid \$600.00 for nothing. The effective rate for publishers to pay is many times greater than the \$.006 per listing.

10 Q. HAVE YOU REVIEWED COST STUDIES FOR THESE SERVICES?

- A. No, not as of the time this testimony was prepared. BellSouth offered to make cost studies available to us for review upon execution of a nondisclosure agreement.
- Q. OTHER THAN THE COST STUDIES WHICH MAY HAVE
 RECENTLY BEEN FILED IN THIS DOCKET HAVE YOU SEEN
 ANY OTHER COST STUDIES FOR THESE SERVICES?
- 17 A. Yes. When BellSouth first filed this tariff they also filed supporting cost
 18 studies. These were not filed as proprietary studies and, in fact, the
 19 information shows up in some of the Staff Recommendations.

20 Q. WHAT DID THESE SHOW?

21 A. That the cost for the DPDS base file is \$.003 as compared to the rate of \$.04

22 per listing and the cost of the WBAR is \$.004 compared to a rate of \$.006.

1	Q.	TOU ALSO REFERENCED AN UPDATE SERVICE. WHAT WOULD
2		AN UPDATE SERVICE THAT IS "REASONABLE IN FORMAT,
3		UNBUNDLED, AND AT A REASONABLE RATE" CONSIST OF?
4	A.	An update service would consist of the name, address and telephone number
5		and billing address for residential customers whenever there is a new connect
6		disconnect or change in the listed name, listed address, listed phone number
7		or billing address. For government and business subscribers, the listed name
8		listed address, listed phone number, business classification, service contact
9		name and billing address whenever there is a new connect, disconnect or
10		change to the listing information as outline above. In the case where there is
11		an unlisted or non-published number, the complete mailing address would be
12		sufficient for the purposes or delivery and distribution of directories. Since
13		there is no alternate resource for this essential information to establish a
14		acceptable formula for what is considered a reasonable rate, this rate and al
15		rates for services and information furnished by BST must be set by using the
16		incremental cost to provide the service with a reasonable return to BST. Th
17		methods and process to provide such essential services and information, mus
18		be set up in a reasonable manner so as not to drive up the costs of th
19		services.
20	Q.	HOW OFTEN MUST DIRECTORY PUBLISHERS RECEIVE
21		RESIDENTIAL AND BUSINESS NEW CONNECT INFORMATIO
22		TO REMAIN COMPETITIVE IN THE DIRECTORY PUBLISHING

T	ND	T	121	gT	V?

A.

Q.

- A. Publishers must receive residential and business new connect information as soon as it is available to BAPCO or utilized by BST or its designee for the distribution of its own directory. It must also be made available on an unbundled basis and at a reasonable rate so as not to make the service prohibitive to FIDP's. In the case where there is an unlisted or non-published number, the complete mailing address, without the name or phone number is essential to be included in the services and would be sufficient for the purposes of delivery and distribution of directories only.
 - Q. IF BELLSOUTH IS REQUIRED TO OFFER A NEW CONNECT SERVICE, SHOULD BELLSOUTH PROVIDE THE INFORMATION WITH BOTH RESIDENTIAL AND BUSINESS NEW CONNECT INFORMATION LISTED?
 - Yes. Right now BST and/or BAPCO nationally, regionally and locally market the fact they are the only source of advertising (yellow pages) that reaches new residents when they first move in, at a time when new residents would need the use of a yellow pages directory more than most people. BST has continued to refuse this essential information to publishers of competitive yellow pages directories and BAPCO is able to exploit their ability to access new residents in their marketing programs to sell yellow pages advertising. IS THERE ANY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A WBAR CONSISTING

OF BOTH RESIDENTIAL AND BUSINESS LISTINGS AND AN

UPDATE SERVICE OR NEW LISTING SERVICE?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

Yes, there is a difference. First of all, the WBAR, in its current state, is a bundled service where most of the information is useless and publishers are forced to purchase it all in order to receive the essential new business connects. The sole purpose of the WBAR is for the solicitation and selling of yellow pages advertising only, as it is specifically stated, and not be used as an update service. An update supplies supplemental information on changes, additions and deletions used to maintain a database of subscriber listings. Any services or access to essential information enjoyed by BAPCO and denied to a competitor is unfair and unreasonable. These services include but are not limited to: complete, accurate and up-to-date subscriber listings at a fair and reasonable price based on BST's incremental cost to provide; the timely delivery of all new connects of business service customers so we might have the equal opportunity to contact such business for the purpose of soliciting and selling advertising by our own schedule and not that of BAPCO's; the timely delivery of all new connects of residential services so we might have the equal opportunity to deliver one of our directories at the same time BAPCO and/or BST's directory arrives.

Q. WHAT WOULD BE A REASONABLE FORMAT AND RATE FOR A NEW CONNECT SERVICE IF OFFERED BY BELLSOUTH?

A. With the development of the Internet and BST's established presence,

Bulletin Board Service and modems, BST could easily make the new connect

\mathbf{a}	4
_	4

1		service information immediately available to those wishing to download the
2		current information as it is posted daily. The rate for all services and
3		information from BST to competitive publishers should be based solely on
4		the actual cost to provide the service/information, plus a reasonable return.
5		This pricing format is commonly referred to as "incremental cost pricing."
6	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

- 7 A. Yes it does.
- 8 H:\USERS\ANN\931138.TES

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.
2	A.	My name is Gerry Screven and my business address is 115 Newman Drive,
3		Brunswick, Georgia, 31520
4	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME GERRY SCREVEN THAT PREFILED
5		DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET?
6	A.	Yes I am.
7	Q.	HAVE YOU HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THE PREFILED
8		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF M. LYNN JUNEAU?
9	A.	Yes. I have.
10	Q.	DO YOU AGREE WITH HER PROPOSALS?
11	A.	No. I do not.
12	Q.	MR. JUNEAU STATES THAT BELLSOUTH SHOULD NOT BE
13		REQUIRED TO OFFER A LISTING SERVICE CONSISTING OF
14		NEW CONNECTS. DO YOU AGREE?
15	A.	Absolutely not. As I addressed this issue in my direct testimony without
16		access to new connects independent publishers are at a severe disadvantage.
17		Ms. Juneau says that lists consisting solely of new connects are not required
18		to publish directories. While this may be technically correct it misses the
19		point. Without access to new connect lists, how else would an independent
20		publisher contact new customers or be able to maintain an up to date
21		publication? This information is available to the publishing affiliate of
22		BellSouth and it certainly ought to be available to competitors. By not

		2 (
1		providing current customer information, such as new connects, to
2		independent publishers BellSouth simply precludes development of
3		meaningful competition to an affiliated company.
4	Q.	MS. JUNEAU STATES THAT THE MONTHLY REFRESH OPTION
5		IS APPROPRIATE. DOESN'T THIS SATISFY YOUR
6		REQUIREMENTS?
7	A.	No. Again, I addressed the requirements for independent publishers in my
8		direct testimony.
9	Q.	M. JUNEAU ALSO TESTIFIES THAT IT IS APPROPRIATE TO
10		PLACE RESTRICTIONS ON USE OF THE DPDS TARIFF. DO YOU
11		HAVE A COMMENT?
12	A.	Yes. FIDPs have consistently asserted that directories could be published in
13		electronic format. The staff agreed, the Commission agreed. Despite this,
14		BellSouth published a tariff that includes restrictions which are theirs and

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

which are contrary to the Commission order. First, we should not be required to expend time and money on an issue which is so clearly covered by the Commission order. Secondly, why should BellSouth be able to tell us how we can use information which we have paid for? This is little more than an effort to control our business which simply should not be permitted. We want the ability and flexibility to publish directories in any format - just like BeliSouth does now. We do not want to get into the directory assistance business, in fact, we know that there is a separate tariff on this information.

1		We want to publish directories and we simply want nondiscriminatory access
2		to do so.
3	Q.	MR. JUNEAU SAYS IN HER SUMMARY THAT BELLSOUTH HAS
4		WORKED WITH PUBLISHERS TO DEVELOP A SERVICE THAT
5		MEETS CUSTOMER NEEDS AT A FAIR PRICE. DO YOU HAVE A
6		COMMENT?
7	A.	Yes. In the first place I don't agree that the offerings in the DPDS tariff are
8		at a "fair price." According to responses to discovery requests, the prices are
9		set at "market price" but unfortunately for publishers there are no other
10		sources for this valuable information. The prices are not related to cost and
11		in fact, cost studies demonstrate that the prices greatly exceed costs. As to
12		the offerings being responsive to customer needs, Ms. Juneau earlier states
13		that there is no demand for a listing of new connects and BellSouth should
14		not be required to develop and offer services which customers will not buy
15		in sufficient quantity. How can they be responsible if they do not want to
16		offer services? BellSouth provides the exact same information to their
17		affiliated publisher which the independent publishers want and this
18		information should be provided.
19	Q.	MS. JUNEAU SAYS THAT THE FLORIDA DPDS TARIFF IS A
20		RESULT OF REGIONAL NEGOTIATIONS. DO YOU AGREE?
21	A.	She is correct that there have been communications and meetings in other

states with respect to the DPDS tanif I would not agree that these were

;

22

\sim	_
٠,	C
_	

- "regional" negotiations nor am I aware of any agreement that was reached
- with respect to the Florida DPDS tariff.
- Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 4 A. Yes it does.

- Q (By Mr. Horton) Mr. Screven, have you prepared a summary of your testimony?
 - A Yes, I have.
 - Q Would you please give that?
 - A Yes.

The Florida Independent Directory Publishers compete directly and against BellSouth and their publisher, BAPCO, as well as other local service providers in the publishing of independent directories and the distribution of those directories in two major areas. One is for users usage of the directories, and two, is in the selling of Yellow Page advertising.

Right now independent directory publishers are at a very competitive disadvantage. It has been so since they developed about 10 or 12 years ago in being able to compete equally and fairly with these local exchange carriers and their publishers.

We are looking to the Commission to amend -to direct BellSouth to amend the orders to create a
level playing field that would allow us to compete in
these areas. To give us direct access and fair access
at a reasonable price to all of the information
necessary.

The issues at hand, the first one is dealing in the format.

13 ||

Τ0

when this Commission issued an order last spring, we expected to receive the amended tariff that would include language that was at that time recommended by the Staff that would not impose any limitations on the directory publishers as to format and method and use of the directory information that we purchased under this tariff. In their subsequent order -- excuse me, the subsequent tariff that was submitted by Bell did not include such language, as we assumed to have been included in the order.

How the information is used and compiled by independent publishers should not be controlled or restricted or limited certainly by their competitor, BellSouth in the marketplace. We feel like BellSouth has no controls on theirselves, or limitations in their own use of that same information. And it would be unreasonable and unfair for them to impose the same controls on us. It would hinder the ability to develop now products and services for the communities and the people at large.

The second issue at hand is dealing with the newcomers; the new residential connects.

When the Commission issued its order last spring, initially the Staff had recommended that BellSouth include in its tariff the residential new

1 | connects in the Weekly Business Activity Report service that they were currently providing. And for whatever reason it did not end up in the language as the new tariff was filed. And this is a very essential element of our competition with BellSouth.

3

5

6

7 ||

11

15

17

18

19

22

23

Newcomers into a community represent in BellSouth's area approximately 20% on an annual basis of their local exchange carriers. And this 20% of the people only right now receive the BellSouth telephone directory and they are denied access of our directories; for a right to choose between which directory they would like to use. And this information is essential for us to be able to compete for usage in the marketplace.

Many of our directories -- the reason we have them on exhibit today is because we feature many features and components of our directories that are not only useful but valuable to people in the community. And such features have been developed only through competition of the directory publishing business over the years.

We feel like it's very, very important that this Commission direct BellSouth to amend its order to include the residential new connects for us to have the same as they utilize themselves or furnish to

BellSouth for the distribution of their directories. 2 We need to have this as a level playing field.

3

5

10

11

12 |

13

16

20

21

22

23 |

This 20% of the community is an impacting segment of the community. New movers, when they move into a community, I think it's pretty well accepted 6 they are more dependent, and have greater need and are 7 | more likely to use a telephone directory at that time than the other segment of the population. Because they are dependent upon the directory to direct them to the products and services they need in settling down after their move and to establish new buying habits. And BellSouth is aware of the impact that their exclusive distribution at this point has in that 14 element of the community and we need it desperately to 15 be able to compete equally.

The third issue is an update service. 17 | BellSouth has offered what they contend is a refresh 18 | update service which is not sufficient to what we need 19 as a company.

BellSouth offers a daily update service or complete transmittal of daily service orders from themselves to BAPCO, which BAPCO utilizes on an ongoing basis any way they need in the course of 24 publishing their directories. We need to have the 25 | same equal access to the same information to use as we need necessarily to publish and compete with Bell for this information.

We're asking that the Commission direct
BellSouth to amend and change its tariff to include an
actual update service that would be reasonable and
affordable and not bundled with any other services or
any other rates that would prohibit us from having
access -- or equal or reasonable access to this
information. And we think that the rates should be on
a fair and reasonable basis.

The final issue is based on some of the prices that we have on the all three matters.

It seems that the base price for all of Bell's rates to us as independents is the 4 cents a listing, which is derived from the cost study they filed in the original filing of this tariff that showed a .003 cents, or 3 mills, three-tenths of one penny as their cost. And by charging 4 cents a listing as their base from which all other rates are derived, that shows in excess of 1300% markup with over a 1200% return on their investment. That's a tremendous, outrageous profit margin for any services.

The tendency of BellSouth in providing the services to independents has always been to bundle stuff together with useless and nonvaluable

information that requires us to buy it in order to unearth the essential part that we need for the particular service that we want. And we'd like to have this Commission direct BellSouth to set all of its prices based on the incremental cost with a reasonable return on their profit, and not to bundle any of their services, and sometimes pushing the rates up so high that that alone prohibits equal access to such essential information.

As I said earlier, BellSouth has no restrictions imposed on them, or limitations, in what they can develop for new products and services to the community at large with the use of this directory database information to their customers. And since we are the direct competitor to them in the market, they should not be allowed to be in a position to impose limitations on us, their competitor, where they don't have the same limitations imposed on them. And we think it's essential that this Commission direct BellSouth to offer all of these services unbundled without limitations so as to create a level playing field.

In a market where we compete, if we published a directory that has incomplete, inaccurate and out-of-date information, the tendency is not to

use our directory if they have an alternative source that does have complete, accurate and up-to-date information, which, in turn, creates an unfair usage 3 | advantage to BellSouth on their directory. Number two, in the competition for selling 5 of directory advertising in the Yellow Pages, people will not invest or have a perception to invest in 7 advertising in a directory that is perceived to have 8 incomplete, inaccurate and out-of-date information, compared to a directory in the marketplace that does 10 have complete, accurate and up-to-date information. 11 | CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Screven, this is 12 supposed to be summary. I appreciate your comments --13 WITNESS SCREVEN: That is the conclusion of 14 my summary of my testimony. 15 MR. HORTON: Mr. Screven is available. 16 MR. KITCHINGS: May I proceed, Madam 17 Chairman? 18 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes, sir. 19 MR. KITCHINGS: Thank you. 20 CROSS EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. KITCHINGS: 22 Good morning, Mr. Screven. I'm Langley 23 Q Kitchings with BellSouth. 24 |

Good morning.

25

Mr. Screven, initially I'd like for us to 1 make sure we agree upon everything that it is that you 2 3 want. If I followed your summary correctly, your company, the Florida Independent Directory Publishers, 5 6 want four things of this Commission, and please correct me if I'm wrong, but you mentioned format, 7 which is I presume how you are able to print directories or use them in some other form; is that 10 | correct? 11 We don't want any limitation as to format, 12 that is correct. You also want a new product which gives you 13 a listing of new connects in a particular community; 14 || 15 | is that correct? 16 A Yes, that is correct. 17 And you would like, also, an update service. 18 And your position is that BellSouth's monthly refresh 19 is not adequate; is that correct? 20 A Yes. 21 Okay. And also you have addressed the question of the cost of these services; is that 22 23 correct?

Q Are there any other services which you are

24

25

Yes.

A